

The Quasi-exact models in two-dimensional curved space based on the generalized CRS Harmonic Oscillator

Ci Song,^{*} Yan Li, and Jing-Ling Chen[†]

*Theoretical Physics Division, Chern Institute of Mathematics,
Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P.R.China*

PHONE: 011+8622-2350-9287, FAX: 011+8622-2350-1532

(Dated: March 1, 2013)

Abstract

In this paper, by searching the relation between the radial part of Higgs harmonic oscillator in the two-dimensional curved space and the generalized CRS harmonic oscillator model, we can find a series of quasi-exact models in two-dimensional curved space based on this relation.

PACS numbers:

Keywords:

^{*}Email: cisong@mail.nankai.edu.cn

[†]Email: chenjl@nankai.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

The quasi-exactly solvable quantum problems was a remarkable discovery in last century[1]. This kind of problem can be solved by Lie algebra[2] or the analytical method[3]. Meanwhile, the quantum nonlinear harmonic oscillator (QNHO) has been studied with great interest [6–12]. Wang and Liu[6] generalized a class of QNHO which is called CRS model[7, 8] by the factorization method , whose Hamiltonian reads

$$H' = \epsilon \left(-\mathcal{K} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda_Q x \frac{d}{dx} \right) + V'(x), \quad (\epsilon = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{K} = 1 + \lambda_Q x^2$, λ_Q is a real number, m is the mass for the particle and

$$V'(x) = \epsilon \frac{(\beta X + \gamma)^2 + (\beta X + \gamma)(AX + B)}{\mathcal{K}(\frac{dX}{dx})^2} + C, \quad (2)$$

where β, γ and C are arbitrary real numbers; $X = X(x)$ is a function which is analytic nearby $x = 0$ here; the parameters A and B need to satisfy the equation

$$\mathcal{K} \frac{d^2 X}{dx^2} + \lambda_Q x \frac{dX}{dx} = AX + B. \quad (3)$$

It is easily proved that the solutions of Hamiltonian (1) can be solved exactly with the potential (2) by the factorization method.

On the other hand, Higgs [4] and Leemon [5] introduced a generalization of the hydrogen atom and isotropic harmonic oscillator in a space with constant curvature. On 2-dimensional curved sphere, the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (\pi^2 + \lambda_G L^2) + \mathcal{V}(r), \quad (4)$$

where $\pi = \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_G[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathbf{x}]$, $L^2 = \frac{1}{2}L_{ij}L_{ij}$, $r = \left| \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2} \right|$ and λ_G is the curvature of the 2-dimensional curved sphere.

In this work, by studying the relation between the generalized CRS harmonic oscillator model[6] and the radial part of Higgs harmonic oscillator[4] in the two-dimensional curved space, we can find a series of quasi-exact models in two-dimensional curved space based on this relation. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, the link between a special

generalized CRS model and the Higgs model will be given; in Sec. 3, the generalized Higgs models which are quasi-exactly solvable will be shown; in Sec. 4, there will be a conclusion finally.

II. THE RELATION BETWEEN THE GENERALIZED CRS HARMONIC OSCILLATOR AND THE RADIAL PART OF HIGGS OSCILLATOR

A. The exactly solvable Higgs oscillator

Considering the two-dimensional Hamiltonian (4), we substitute it into the stationary Schrödinger equation, which $\mathcal{V}(r) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2$. The partial differential equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[(1 + \lambda_G r^2)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{(1 + \lambda_G r^2)(1 + 5\lambda_G r^2)}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \left(3\lambda_G + \frac{15\lambda_G^2 r^2}{4} \right) + \left(\lambda_G + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right] \Psi(r, \theta) \\ & = (E_G - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2) \Psi(r, \theta). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which E_G is the stationary energy eigenvalue. If we make $\Psi(r, \theta) = e^{i\mathfrak{m}_G \theta} \psi(r)$ and \mathfrak{m}_G is the angular parameter, it gives the radial part of above equation

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[(1 + \lambda_G r^2)^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{(1 + \lambda_G r^2)(1 + 5\lambda_G r^2)}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \left(3\lambda_G - \lambda_G \mathfrak{m}_G^2 + \frac{15}{4}\lambda_G^2 r^2 - \frac{\mathfrak{m}_G^2}{r^2} \right) \right] \psi(r) \\ & = (E_G - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2) \psi(r). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Considering the work of Higgs [4], we know that the harmonic oscillator (4) on the 2-dimensional curve sphere with constant curvature λ_G has the radial wave function

$$\psi(r)_{N, \mathfrak{m}_G} = r^{|\mathfrak{m}_G|} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_G r^2} \right)^{\frac{|\mathfrak{m}_G|+2}{2} + \frac{m\omega'_G}{2\hbar\lambda_G}} F(-N, N + |\mathfrak{m}_G| + 1 + \frac{m\omega'_G}{\lambda_G \hbar}, |\mathfrak{m}_G| + 1; \frac{\lambda_G r^2}{1 + \lambda_G r^2}) \quad (7)$$

and the energy spectrum

$$E_{G(N, \mathfrak{m}_G)} = \hbar\omega'_G(2N + |\mathfrak{m}_G| + 1) + \frac{\lambda_G \hbar^2}{2m}(2N + |\mathfrak{m}_G| + 1)^2, \quad (8)$$

which $\omega'_G = \sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 \lambda_G^2}{4m^2}}$, N and \mathfrak{m}_G are both integer number here.

B. The exactly solvable generalized CRS harmonic oscillator

For the generalized CRS model[6], if we set the function and parameters in the potential (2) as $X(x) = \cos(2\Theta(x))$, $\beta = 2\lambda_Q(\mathfrak{m}_Q + 1) + \sqrt{\lambda_Q^2 + \frac{4m^2\omega^2}{\hbar^2}}$, $\gamma = 2\lambda_Q\mathfrak{m}_Q - \sqrt{\lambda_Q^2 + \frac{4m^2\omega^2}{\hbar^2}}$, $A = -4\lambda_Q$, $B = 0$ and $C = \epsilon \left(\lambda_Q(\mathfrak{m}_Q^2 - 1) + \mathfrak{m}_Q \sqrt{\lambda_Q^2 + \frac{4m^2\omega^2}{\hbar^2}} \right)$, we get

$$V'(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 \left(\frac{\tan(\Theta(x))}{\sqrt{\lambda_Q}} \right)^2 - \frac{\lambda_Q\hbar^2}{8m} (1 + (1 - 4\mathfrak{m}_Q^2) \csc^2(\Theta(x))), \quad (9)$$

where $\Theta(x) = \operatorname{arcsinh}(\sqrt{\lambda_Q}x)$ and \mathfrak{m}_Q is a real number. With the potential above, by solving the generalized CRS eigen-equation

$$\left[\epsilon \left(-\mathcal{K} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda_Q x \frac{d}{dx} \right) + V'(x) \right] \phi(x) = E_Q \phi(x), \quad (10)$$

we have the wavefunction

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) = & (-\sin^2(2\Theta(x)))^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sin^2(\Theta(x)) \left(\frac{\tan(\Theta(x))}{\sqrt{\lambda_Q}} \right)^{|\mathfrak{m}_Q|} \\ & (\cos(\Theta(x)))^{\frac{|\mathfrak{m}_Q|+2}{2} + \frac{m\omega'_Q}{2\hbar\lambda_Q}} F(-N, N + |\mathfrak{m}_Q| + 1 + \frac{m\omega'_Q}{\lambda_Q\hbar}, |\mathfrak{m}_Q| + 1; \sin(\Theta(x))). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and the energy spectrum

$$E_{Q(N, \mathfrak{m}_Q)} = \hbar\omega'_Q(2N + |\mathfrak{m}_Q| + 1) + \frac{\lambda_Q\hbar^2}{2m}(2N + |\mathfrak{m}_Q| + 1)^2, \quad (12)$$

which $\omega'_Q = \sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{\hbar^2\lambda_Q^2}{4m^2}}$, N and \mathfrak{m}_Q are both integer number here.

C. The transformation from generalized CRS harmonic oscillator to radial Higgs model

Comparing the energy spectrum (8) and (12), if $\lambda_G = \lambda_Q = \lambda$ and $\mathfrak{m}_G = \mathfrak{m}_Q = \mathfrak{m}$, it is obviously that they are exactly same. With the transformation

$$\Theta(x) = \operatorname{arcsinh}(\sqrt{\lambda}x) = \Theta(x(r)) = \Upsilon(r) = \arctan(\sqrt{\lambda}r) \quad (13)$$

and separating the wave function (11)

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x(r)) = g(r)\psi(r), \quad g(r) = \left(-\sin^2(2\Upsilon(r))\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sin^2(\Upsilon(r)), \quad (14)$$

we get the same differential equation as (6) and the same wave-function as (7).

Thus, we find the transformation relation here. If the Hamiltonian (1) with potential $V(x)$ can be solved exactly with the wave function $\phi(x)$, the radial part of Hamiltonian (4) with $\mathcal{V}(r)$ above also can be solved exactly with the following wave function

$$\psi(r) = \csc(\Upsilon(r))^2 \left(-\sin(2\Upsilon(r))\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \phi(x(r)), \quad (15)$$

which $V(x)$ and $\mathcal{V}(r)$ satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = V(x(r)) + \frac{\lambda\hbar^2}{8m} \left(1 + (1 - 4\mathfrak{m}_Q^2) \csc^2(\Upsilon(r))\right). \quad (16)$$

III. THE QUASI-EXACT MODEL IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL CURVED SPACE

For the transformation from generalized CRS harmonic oscillator to radial Higgs model, it can be easily found that the potential $\mathcal{V}(r)$ in two-dimensional Higgs model can only be solved exactly while the angular parameter \mathfrak{m}_G equals to the real number \mathfrak{m}_Q . For $\mathfrak{m}_Q \neq \mathfrak{m}_G$ case, the 2-dimensional Higgs model is a quasi-exact model for angular part of this model can not be exactly solved.

Here, we would like to give some explicit examples, which satisfy $\lambda_G = \lambda_Q = \lambda$.

eg: (1) $X(x) = \cos(2\Theta(x))$, $\Theta(x) = \operatorname{arcsinh}(\sqrt{\lambda}x)$, $\beta = 2\lambda(\mathfrak{m}_Q + 1) + \frac{2m\omega'}{\hbar}$, $\gamma = 2\lambda\mathfrak{m}_Q - \frac{2m\omega'}{\hbar}$, $A = -4\lambda$, $B = 0$, $C = \epsilon \left(\lambda(\mathfrak{m}_Q^2 - 1) + \frac{2m\omega'}{\hbar}\mathfrak{m}_Q\right)$, $\omega' = \sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{\hbar^2\lambda^2}{4m^2}}$. Thus, we have $\mathcal{V}(r) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega'^2 r^2$. However, the wavefunction is

$$\Psi(r, \theta; N, \mathfrak{m}_G, \mathfrak{m}_Q) = e^{i\mathfrak{m}_G\theta} \psi(r; N, \mathfrak{m}_Q) \quad (17)$$

and

$$\psi(r; N, \mathfrak{m}_Q) = r^{|\mathfrak{m}_Q|} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda r^2}\right)^{\frac{|\mathfrak{m}_Q|+2}{2} + \frac{m\omega'}{2\hbar\lambda}} F(-N, N + |\mathfrak{m}_Q| + 1 + \frac{m\omega'}{\lambda\hbar}, |\mathfrak{m}_Q| + 1; \frac{\lambda r^2}{1 + \lambda r^2})$$

From equation (17), it is obviously that this is a quasi-exact model.

eg: (2) $X(x) = x$, which means $A = \lambda, B = 0$. β is an arbitrary real numbers about parameter m_Q . γ and C equals to 0. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = \frac{\beta_{m_Q}(\beta_{m_Q} + \lambda)}{2\lambda} \tanh^2(\Upsilon(r)) + \frac{\lambda\hbar^2}{8m} (1 + (1 - 4m_Q^2) \csc^2(\Upsilon(r))) .$$

The ground state of wave function is

$$\Psi(r, \theta; 0, m_G, m_Q) = e^{im_G\theta} \psi(r; 0, m_Q) \quad (18)$$

and

$$\psi(r; 0, m_Q) = \csc(\Upsilon(r))^2 (-\sin(2\Upsilon(r)))^{\frac{3}{4}} \operatorname{sech}(\Upsilon(r))^{\frac{\beta_{m_Q}}{\lambda}} .$$

From equation (18), it says that this quasi-exact model can be built by the transformation(13) .

IV. CONCLUSION

From the transformation(13), we can establish lots of quasi-exact models in two-dimensional curved space. This is a progress about quasi-exact theory and also a connection between quantum nonlinear harmonic oscillator (QNHO) theory and curved space model.

Acknowledgements

We thank Da-Bao Yang and Fu-Lin Zhang for their helpful discussion and checking the manuscript carefully. This work is supported in part by NSF of China (Grants No. 10975075).

-
- [1] M.A. Shifman, Int. J. Mod. A **4** (1989) 2897.
 - [2] A.V.Turbiner, Commun. Math. Phys. **118** (1988) 467.
 - [3] M. Taut, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **28**(1995) 2081.
 - [4] P. W. Higgs, J. Phys. A **12** (1979) 309-323.

- [5] Leemon, J. Phys. A **12** (1979) 489-501.
- [6] Xue-Hong Wang, and Yu-Bin Liu, “Factorization Method for a Class of Quantum Nonlinear Harmonic Oscillators”
- [7] J.F.Carinena, M.F.Ranada, and M.Santander, “One-dimensional model of a Quantum non-linear Harmonic Oscillator”, Rept. Math. Phys. **54** (2004) 285.
- [8] J.F.Carinena, M.F.Ranada, M.Santander, and M.Senthilvelan, “A nonlinear Oscillator with quasi-Harmonic behaviour: two- and n -dimensional Oscillators”, Nonlinearity **17** (2004) 1941
- [9] P.M. Mathews and M.Lakshmanan. Quart. Appl. Math. **32** (1974) 215.
- [10] M.Lakshmanan and S.Rajasekar, “Nonlinear dynamics, Integrability, Chaos and Patterns”, Advanced Texts in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2003.
- [11] R.Delbourgo, A.Salam and J.Strathdee, Phys. Rev. **187** (1969) 1999.
- [12] K.Nishijima and T.Watanabe, Prog. Theor. Phys. **47** (1972) 996.

,